

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/312,351	05/14/1999	JON A. WOLFF	MIRUS.006	2480
7.	590 10/15/2002			
MARK K JOHNSON			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 51064 NEW BERLIN	44 , WI 531310644		WOITACH, JOSEPH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	0
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2002	γ_0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. **09/312,351**

Applicant(s)

Wolff et al.

Examiner

Joseph T. Woitach

Art Unit 1632

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
There reject allow	REPLY FILED Oct 4, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. efore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for rance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]			
a)	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
ex ap se	ctensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ctension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally at in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1.□	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) 🕱 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);				
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
	NOTE: <u>See attached.</u>			
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5.🛭	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached.			
6. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. 🛭	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a will not be entered or b will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: 7-11 and 19-28			
• 🗆	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-6 and 15-17			
8. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a pproved or b disapproved by the Examinel			
9. ⊔	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).			
10.	Other: DEBORAH CROLICH			

Art Unit: 1632

Applicants' after final amendment filed October 4, 2002, paper number 19, has been received.

Section 2(c):

The proposed amendments to claims 7 and 24 introduce new limitations not previously recited nor specifically considered. The proposed amendments introduce additional molecules which are covalently linked to either side of the disulfide bond. It appears that the compounds in the Pierce catalog do not anticipate each of the newly proposed limitations, however a new search and consideration of the art where the compounds sold by Pierce were used to cross link molecules would have to be evaluated. For example, a teaching wherein the compounds taught by Pierce were used to radiolabel a protein. A more specific example would be a teaching wherein a composition comprising an iodinated hetero-bifunctional crosslinker attached to a protein through its cysteine (i.e. I¹²⁵-x-S-S-cys-protein) would have to be searched and evaluated.

Section 5(c):

Applicants' comments and arguments presented in the after final amendment, pages 4-7, have been fully considered, but not found persuasive. Initially, it is noted that Examiner appreciates and agrees with the detailed summary of the stability of disulfide bonds. However, it is noted that the comments and arguments are directed to stability and affected trends of pKa's of various thiol groups, and because the proposed amendments have not been entered, these

Application/Control Number: 09/312,351

Art Unit: 1632

arguments are not found persuasive because the compounds taught by Pierce anticipate the

Page 3

pending claims. Further, it appears that the compounds taught in Apricco et al. which use the

hetero-bifunctional crosslinker to generate an antibody-gelonin molecule would anticipate the

compositions in the instantly proposed claims (see for example in schemes 3 and 4).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (703)305-3732.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached at (703)305-4051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist Pauline Farrier whose telephone number is (703)305-3550.

Joseph T. Woitach

منع .